# **Estimated Innocence paper Form**

If you represent someone without access to the online spreadsheet form, send this to the incarcerated claimant you represent. Have them fill it out and return it to you. It is up to you to transcribe it into the spreadsheet form. Thank you for your support.

#### **Form contents**

The remainder of this document is addressed specifically to the incarcerated claimant.

A	Case information	Pages 4 - 9	
В	Documentation for verification	10 - 14	
C	Calculating factors in wrongful convictions	15 - 29	
C.1	Common factors in wrongful convictions	15 - 16	
<b>C.2</b>	Evidentiary factors	16 - 18	
<b>C.3</b>	Investigative factors	19 - 20	
<b>C.4</b>	Complicating factors	21 - 22	
<b>C.5</b>	Claimant's demonstratable innocence	23 – 24	
<b>C.</b> 6	Claimant's innocence recognized by others	25 - 26	
<b>C.7</b>	Other	27	
<b>C.8</b>	Process	28 - 29	
D.	Requests and responses for exoneration help	30 - 32	
E.	Collateral consequences of wrongful conviction	33 - 37	
F.	Claimant narrative	38 - 40	

## **How it works (instructions to incarcerated claimant)**

**Section A** starts by asking for your basic information, and your proxy's information. Your proxy is someone who is not incarcerated that you assign to speak on your behalf. Typically, this will be the person who sent you this form.

Your answers to **section B** establish your base score. This score rises the more accessible your case documents. If you have not yet arranged for PDFs of your case documents to be readily accessible online, contact your proxy to see how your case documents can be most easily available to others trying to back up your innocence claim. Your score improves greatly when you can provide a URL for others to locate your case documents as PDFs online. Overestimating the availability of your case documents, or exaggerating how well they can support your claim, risks jeopardizing your opportunity for exoneration.

Until these documents independently verify your claims in the following sections, your adjusted score remains the same as this base score. Your raw score continues to climb as you fill out **section C**. Calculations of your innocence are based on the checkbox lists, and not on any of the text you write down. **Sections D** and **E** help to identify you affected needs. **Section F** gives you opportunity to share your story directly.

### **Sharing your information**

By signing this form, you grant permission to share your case information with us as you present it. Work with your proxy for any needed edits. The public will need to agree to respect your rights before viewing your case details. They can freely view information below you provide in **section F**.

- Your provided self-portrait
- Your first name
- One-liner
- If DNA case or not
- Custody status
- State in which convicted
- Year of conviction
- Raw score



When clicking the VIEW button, site visitors see your profile page. It includes other information from **section F**: your highlights, synopsis and summary. On this profile page, site visitors see three levels of options to support you: 1) Follow you, 2) Support you, 3) Sponsor you. Clicking any of these options requires registration to the site, and agreement to our terms of use.

These three options remain under construction. As a pioneering new platform, this service continues to be shaped to fit your needs, and the needs of other innocence claimants. Curb your expectations as we build something awesome to address your needs. We're on a learning curve together.

### **Investing in your innocence**

It's ethically questionable to charge you for all the costs to eventually exonerate you. If recklessly hit by a government vehicle and seriously injured, you wouldn't ethically pay out of pocket the costs for hospitalization. Nor should you have to pay for what the government did to you here. This process presumes the state bears the burden of costs. Until then, we count each time you cover costs upfront as an investment for which you should ultimately be compensated.

Track those costs as they occur. Keep track how much time it takes for you to fill out this form. Regard your time and focus as an investment not only in your exoneration, but helping to redress the currently hidden problem of wrongful convictions. If signing up for our guiding help, we may use these documented costs in our negotiations with district attorneys and elected officials.

We don't think the fully innocent should have to pay for the mistakes they suffer from the state. That includes all the time it will take for you to fill out this form. That also includes the emotional labor required to answer these questions. They may dig up some awfully painful stuff.

One of the visions for our guiding service is to hold authorities accountable for imposing hidden costs. If and when the time is right, and the opportunity presents itself, we may submit an invoice for the costs you incurred because of their harmful error. That includes the time and emotional labor to fill out this extensively long form. Not to mention the costs of postage, envelopes, paper and ink for the proxy to print this form for you, and all the time and energy to transcribe it. Your worth it. Let's remind the authorities how much you and your efforts are actually worth.

### **Pace yourself**

We don't expect you to complete this form in one sitting. Try filling out a section at a time, and then take a breather. If you find yourself emotionally exhausted, step away for a moment. Inhale and exhale a few times. Get refocused. Keep your eyes on the prize. Keep hope alive. Be loved.

The Innocence Projects and Conviction Integrity Units utilize much shorter forms. They ask only what they need to screen your innocence claim for legal review. Those shorter forms risk taking shortcuts with your life. This longer form openly engages much more of your impacted life.

Length
Vetting of claims
Processing of claims
Focus of remedy
Divisive verdicts
Review outcome
Claimant trauma

IP/CIU form	EIF form
less than ten pages	forty pages
screens out most claims	accepts all innocence claims
kept hidden from the public	kept transparent to the public
focused on interpreted law	focused on underserved needs
accepts binary verdicts	transcends binary verdicts
generalizes as good or bad	identifies degree of quality
trauma not addressed	trauma addressed

### **Speak innocence to power**

This service does not promise it will help you achieve exoneration. But it promises to challenge the legitimacy of prosecutors who refuse to face verifiable data. Together, we raise the standard of justice by quantifying your likely innocence. Prosecutors ignore this reality at their own risk. This shifts the onus of culpability to a failed criminal judicial system, one that repeatedly wrongly convicts innocent folks like you. As you fill out this form, know you are blazing a new trail of *deeper justice*. Welcome aboard.

TART TIME:	FINISH TIME:		TOTAL TIME OF	N SECTION:		
A. Case I	nformation					
Claimant name	First	Middle		Last		
	Claimant email address	email access	limited	date of birth (year-month-day)		
	gender	1	primary language			
	□ male □ female □ other:		☐ English ☐ Spanish ☐ other:			
	preferred pronoun		•			
	□ he/him/his □ she/her/hers □ they/them/their □ other:		□ primarily will primarily blinonwhite Hinother:	ack ispanic		
	Anything to add to these answers?					
Proxy name	First		Last			
	Proxy email address	ا	phone # where can be	reached		
	relation to claimant		how long knowing			
	☐ female trusted family member ☐ trusted friend ☐ trusted colleague ☐ defense lawyer at trial ☐ defense lawyer after trial ☐ appellate lawyer ☐ investigative journalist ☐ activist ☐ Innocence Project staff/volunteer ☐ criminal justice system victim advocate ☐ other:			conviction		
	preferred pronoun  □ he/him/his □ she/her/hers □ they/them/their □ other:		□ primarily will primarily bli>□ nonwhite H	ack		

# Indictment (official accusation) – use numbers instead of checkmarks

Initial charge(s) Actual involvement leve	el
homicide the alleged cr.	ime never occurred
attempted homicide onto present do	uring alleged incident nor played any role
sexual assault of minor present after	alleged incident but played no role in any criminal act
burglary present prior t	to alleged incident but played no role in any criminal act
robbery present during	g alleged incident but never witnessed any criminal act
arson present during other:	g alleged incident but played no role in any criminal act
Alleged involvement level present durin	g alleged incident as another but unidentified victim
principal played a mini	mal role in situation in a way not explicitly criminal
aiding & abetting involved with	complainant but played no role in alleged criminal act
	police or informant to act in way not explicitly criminal
accomplice other (of actu	al innocence)
Describe any added or altered charges	
Describe any dropped charges	
Plea	
Did you plead guilty? (If yes, explain why at right)	
□ yes, I willingly pled guilty	
□ yes, I pled guilty under duress □ no, I pled not guilty	
Did you try withdrawing your plea? (If not, why not?)	
□ no, I did not try to withdraw it	
□ yes, I tried withdrawing plea	
Offered a plea deal?	
<ul><li>□ never open to any plea deal</li><li>□ coerced into a plea deal with threats</li></ul>	
☐ tricked into a plea deal with lies	
□ accepted plea deal to save others	
<ul><li>□ willingly plea-bargained to lesser charge</li><li>□ other (explain at right)</li></ul>	
How long insisting you're completely innocent?	Offered date: N/M /DD /XXXXX
□ always	Offense date: MM/DD/YYYY
<ul><li>□ always, even knowing it could cost me parole</li><li>□ always, even knowing it could be stuck in prison</li></ul>	Arrest date: $MM/DD/YYYY$
□ since recanting coerced confession	Allost date. TVIIVI/ DD/ 1111
☐ since released from prison ☐ never claimed innocence before	Indictment date: MM/DD/YYYY

# Conviction

What is the conviction based upo  □ admission of guilt to ini □ plea bargain □ bench trial □ jury trial		-		Prelim hearing:	MM/DD/YYYY	
				Jury selection:	MM/DD/YYYY	
☐ jury retrial after ☐ other (explain in				Verdict date:	MM/DD/YYYY	
Anything else about the conviction you can add?						
Jurisdiction of c	onvi	ction				
State (or fed):		County (AK Bur	rrough, LA Parish):	City:	City:	
District Court				I		
Case docket #		Preliminary prosecutor		Preliminary ju	Preliminary judge	
Circuit Court				1		
Docket # (if different)		Trial prosecutor		Trial judge		
Defense counsel		Full name		□ hired	opointed (or not applicable)	
□ m □ ne □ m		ostly satisfied either satisfied ostly dissatisfi	ith representation with representation I nor dissatisfied ied with representation I with representation	would h would h would h would n would n	hire again hire again with reservation hire again with changes hot hire again f disciplined by peers f disbarred	
How many defendants?					ix listed in indictment paperwork	
Codefendant(s) information						
Name of codefendant 1:						
Name of codefendant 2:						
Name of codefendant 3:						
Name of codefendant 4:						
Name of codefendant 5:						
Name of codefendant 6:						

Write the number of each defe	ndant where best fits in the it	ems below	
charged as?  principal	how adjudicated? same trial, same	relation?  partner	convicted as?  principal
aiding & abetting accessory after the fact  verdict?	jury same trial, different juries separate trial took plea deal	family close friend casual friend acquaintance stranger	aiding & abetting accessory after the fact  also claims innocence?
not guilty guilty as charged guilty of lesser crime  If more than six codefendants,	knows crime's location?  yes  no  add in space below. Add any	knows real culprit?  yes  no nelpful context.	yes not sure no
Sentencing date:	Start date of sentence:	If multiple sentences:	
		□ concurrent	□ consecutive
Date appeal filed	Appeal decision date:	Appeal decision:	
Appeal status (e.g., Habeas Corpus)		☐ affirmed all convict	
Current custody status (still in  out on bond  detained for parole vide incarcerated, no appering incarcerated, preparing incarcerated in prison incarcerated, post-appering incarcerated, exhaust incarcerated, appealing continuance from earling involuntary commitmed sentence suspended	olation al ng appeal n, on appeal peal ed state remedies ng at federal level liest release date	□ on probation □ on parole □ released from prison □ released from probat □ discharged from par □ out but must register □ waiting in prison for □ facing re-imprisonm □ facing new charges □ arrested on new char □ other (explain below	tion ole r community placement ent rges
Inmate #	Current corr	ectional facility	City, ST zip code

# Incarceration

Date started prison sentence:	MM/DD/YYYY	Date released from parole (if applicable):	MM/DD/YYYY	
Earliest release date (if applicable):	MM/DD/YYYY	Date released from probation (if applicable):	MM/DD/YYYY	
Sentence severity  ☐ death row ☐ life sentence without chance for parole ☐ life sentence with possibility of parole ☐ lengthy "trial penalty" sentence ☐ set date for release ☐ due to start next sentence after this one ☐ facing risk of civil commitment ☐ facing risk of deportation ☐ other:				
How many parole denials for maintaining innocence?	Expect to innocence	serve full sentence due to e claim?	□ yes □ no	
Sex offender registry:  not applicable already removed from		□ on registry until official re□ on registry for life	elease	
If exonerated, expect to seek compensation?	□ yes □ no	□ not sure	not offered by my state, may sue instead	
-	Do you think such compensation could make up for the wrongful conviction experience? □ yes, very much □ I don't know □ I probably will not bother □ yes, somewhat □ no, not at all			
Conviction Integrity (	Jnit or similarly named	entity of DA office?		
Any CIU involvement?  ☐ yes ☐ not yet ☐ not that I'm aware of ☐ no ☐ no ☐ □ no				
Innocence Project?		<u>,                                      </u>		
How often requesting help from an innocence project?  What was the response? Explain further at left.  □ Never received a reply.  □ Stated my case did not merit their review.  □ My case did not fall within their scope.  □ Affirmed my case merited review, but had to prioritize their resources for others whose liberty was more in jeopardy.  □ other (explain in box below)				
Criminal history			story contributors	
□ prior misdemeanor( □ no prior criminal his □ prior lesser felony, b □ no prior criminal his □ equal or worse prior □ prior criminal histor □ equal or worse prior	story, misdemeanor(s) since	enforcem in need of survival of survival of since estince ffense since ffense since ffense since ffense since	of mental health services crime ember incarcerated	

Any prior or subsequent convictions challenged? If so, explain in box below.
Anything unique to your wrongful situation we didn't think to ask?
Before you spill too much here, scan the questions below. Especially in section C. There you receive ample opportunity
to demonstrate your overlooked innocence.
What is the worst feature of the case against you (if any) that has at least some merit? How
would you account for it, without sounding like you're making excuses?
, ,
TRANSCRIBE START: TRANSCRIBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:
10 11 0 11 12 0 11 0 11 0 11 1 1 1 1 1 1

Thanks for answering all those questions. They understandably bring up a lot of pain. Together, let's give your pain some purpose by bringing this injustice to an end. You may feel some pain along the way, like feeling frustrated if you cannot locate essential case documents.

This can be some heavy stuff. Looking back on this nightmare can be emotionally exhausting. Give yourself a break before filling out the next session. Inhale and hold your breath for a few seconds. Relax your body. Exhale slowly. Do this again, and again. Feel the tension slip away.

Remember, you endured incredible pain so far. You may need to face more pain on this journey to get back to the land of freedom. You're almost there. You can do this. Somewhere, someone loves you. You are worth it. You need this. Be loved, and prepare yourself to be free. Soak in this vision of freedom that is yours by right. It's drawing closer every day, even now. One more inhale and exhale. Ready? Okay, let's continue.

Next, we'll take an inventory of your case documents to back up your innocence claim. Your score could remain disappointingly low if you cannot locate your case documents and make them easily available online. Work with your proxy to find how to make your case documents available online as PDFs.

STA	RT TIME:	FINISH TIME:		TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:	
В.	. Documentati	on for verifica	ati	on	
				cation to verify the claim elements. Half at can independently verify innocence.	
1.	Trial transcripts If relevant, can claimant pro	ovide a conv of trial transcri	nts?	If yes, select how from list below.	
	□ By URL below, searchab □ By URL below, audio/vi □ By URL below, image on	le text (best) sual recording (great)		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)	
	URL or email address:				
	Add any relevant info in	box below to help us h	elp	you with your trial transcripts.	
2.		of the discovery motion and	a co	ppy of discovery documents.	
	<ul><li>□ By URL below, searchab</li><li>□ By URL below, audio/vi</li><li>□ By URL below, image on</li></ul>	sual recording (great)		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)	
	URL or email address:	same as above?			
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your discovery documents.				
3.	Other trial related d If relevant, provide other tria		mot	ion to quash, to sever, to show cause.	
	<ul><li>□ By URL below, searchab</li><li>□ By URL below, audio/vi</li><li>□ By URL below, image on</li></ul>	sual recording (great)		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)	
	URL or email address:	same as above?			
	Add any relevant info in	box below to help us h	elp	you with your other documents.	

4.	Police interrogation  If relevant, provide any transcript of any interrogation(s).
	□       By URL below, searchable text (best)       □       By email below (fair)         □       By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)       □       Not at this time (poor)         □       By URL below, image only (good)       □       Not applicable (okay)
	URL or email address: same as above?
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your interrogation transcripts.
5.	Any motion for a new trial  If relevant, a copy of any motion for a new trial.
	□       By URL below, searchable text (best)       □       By email below (fair)         □       By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)       □       Not at this time (poor)         □       By URL below, image only (good)       □       Not applicable (okay)
	URL or email address: same as above?
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your trial motion documents.
6.	Presentence Investigation Report If relevant, a copy of the presentence investigation report used to prepare sentencing.
	<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> <li>□ Not at this time (poor)</li> <li>□ Not applicable (okay)</li> </ul>
	URL or email address: same as above?
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your PIR.

7.	<ol> <li>Appellate brief         If relevant, a copy of any appellate brief to exhaust state and federal remedi     </li> </ol>	es.
	<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By email below</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> <li>□ Not at this time</li> <li>□ Not applicable (</li> </ul>	(poor)
	URL or email address: same as above?	
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your	appeal documents.
8.	8. <b>Appellate opinion</b> If relevant, a copy of the appellate panel's published or unpublished decision	1.
	<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By email below</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ Not at this time</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> <li>□ Not applicable (</li> </ul>	(poor)
	URL or email address: same as above?	
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with the ap	pellate opinion document.
9.	<ol> <li>Post-appellate remedies sought         If relevant, a copy of your habeas corpus or 1983 motion or other post-appe     </li> </ol>	llate appeals.
	<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> <li>□ Not at this time</li> <li>□ Not applicable (</li> </ul>	(poor)
	URL or email address: same as above?	
	Add any relevant info in box below to help us help you with your o	ther remedy documents.

10. Innocence project communication  If relevant, a copy of any correspondence with innocence projects or with others in the innocence movement.					
<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> </ul>		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)			
URL or email address: same as above?					
Add any relevant info in box below to help us h	elp	you with your IP correspondence.			
<b>Professional supporters</b> Any exchange with pro bono lawyers, faith leaders, ele	ected	officials.			
<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> </ul>		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)			
URL or email address: same as above?		.,			
Add any relevant info in box below regarding y	our	professional support documents.			
Media interest and coverage  Any exchange with online activists, journalists, and the	eir co	verage.			
<ul> <li>□ By URL below, searchable text (best)</li> <li>□ By URL below, audio/visual recording (great)</li> <li>□ By URL below, image only (good)</li> </ul>		By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)			
URL or email address: same as above?					
Add any relevant info in box below about any o	orre	espondence with the media.			

<ol> <li>Other documentation</li> <li>If relevant, a copy of any other documents relating to your case.</li> </ol>						
<ul><li>□ By URL below, searchable</li><li>□ By URL below, audio/visu</li><li>□ By URL below, image only</li></ul>	al recording (great)	]	By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)			
	same as above?					
Add any relevant info in b	ox below to help us help	ру	ou with your other documents.			
• •	4. Other supportive material (e.g., alibi affidavit)					
Provide any additional information			•			
<ul><li>□ By URL below, searchable</li><li>□ By URL below, audio/visu</li><li>□ By URL below, image only</li></ul>	al recording (great)	]	By email below (fair) Not at this time (poor) Not applicable (okay)			
URL or email address:	same as above?					
Add any relevant info in b	ox below to help us help	ру	ou with your other supportive materials.			
TRANSCRIBE START:	RANSCRIBE FINISH:		TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:			

This section creates your baseline score. The more accessible the relevant document, the higher your score rises. You overall score improves when you or your supporters can:

- Provide a page count for all identified documents.
- Ensure all online documents are accessible.
- Test your claim by going through these documents.

While incarcerated, you understandably face barriers when trying to make these documents accessible. Work with your proxy to locate them, to scan them, and to upload them into a site like Weebly or other free online space. Use the box above to report any problems with this.

Until access to verification documents improves, we can present the positive sides to your claim. We can add how incarceration complicates opportunity to verify your claim. Hopefully, you will not need to access your documents to strengthen your claim from memory in the sections that follow.

<u>STAR</u>	RT TIME:	FINISH TIME:	TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:
C.	Calculating	factors in	wrongful convictions
	Common factors i		
The	e <b>innocence Project</b> nas	s identified these s	six factors as common in wrongful convictions
15.	Eyewitness Misider	ntification:	
	_		riminal investigation? Do you claim eyewitnesses made
			conviction? If yes, describe below.
	no, not a factor		I know of no way to verify this claim
	□ only a remote factor		
	yes, a moderate factor		
	yes, a significant factor		7 1 01
			r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r
			l Verifiable by the URL I provided
	False Confessions o		
	,	•	guilt for something you now insist you did not do? Were you
			iven the option to take a plea deal to avoid the risk of a
	much harsher sentence? I	f yes to any of these,	describe below.
	no, not a factor		
	only a remote factor		
	yes, a moderate factor		
	yes, a significant factor		The Street of th
			vermable by the OKL i provided
17.	<b>Government Misco</b>	nduct:	
	Do you claim law enforcen	nent or judicial officia	Is or any other government employee's committed fraud or
			ur wrongful conviction? For example, was there any
	indication of a Brady viola		
	no, not a factor	<b>,</b> ,	
	□ only a remote factor		
	□ yes, a moderate factor		l Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
	$\square$ yes, a significant factor	.   [	The Street of th
			l Verifiable by the URL I provided

8. Unvalidated or Improper Forensic Science:						
Do you claim your wrongful convictions was based, at least in part, on questionable forensic evidence? For						
	example, was the conviction based upon contaminated evidence? Or did a state lab expert provide					
	enting the scientific reliability of the findings? If yes to any of these,					
describe below.	orthing the solentine reliability of the lindings: If you to driy of those,					
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim					
only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how					
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation					
yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access					
_ ,,	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request					
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided					
19. <b>Informants:</b>						
Do you claim your wrongful conviction re	esulted, at least in part, to a jail snitch or other informant with an					
apparent conflict of interest? Do you if s	uch an informant was given any incentives to testify against you? If					
yes to any of these, describe below.						
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim					
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how					
yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation					
□ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access					
, and the second	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request					
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided					
20. <b>Inadequate Defense:</b>						
Do you claim your defense attorney faile	ed to provide an adequate defense to the charges? For example,					
did your court appointed lawyer invest o	nly a minimal amount of time and energy to help you mount a					
defense? If yes to any of these, describe						
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim					
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how					
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation					
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access					
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request					
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided					
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRI	BE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:					

Give yourself a break after each of these sections. Re-energize to refocus. You deserve it.

STA	RT	TIME:		FINISH TIN	ME:	TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:	
C.	2 E	Evident	iary factors	;			
	These six items increase a likelihood of wrongful conviction						
21.	21. Evidence yet to be DNA tested						
				entially excul		ridence has yet to be DNA tested?	
		no, not a	a factor emote factor			I know of no way to verify this claim Should be verifiable but not sure how	
		-	oderate factor			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation	
			gnificant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access	
		y 00, a 01,	5			Verifiable by information provided upon request	
						Verifiable by the URL I provided	
22.	No	on-DNA	Nevidence y	et to be	conside	ered	
			-			as overlooked? Or new evidence surfaced?	
		no, not a		lological cyl		I know of no way to verify this claim	
		•	emote factor			Should be verifiable but not sure how	
			oderate factor			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation	
			gnificant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access	
			3			Verifiable by information provided upon request	
						Verifiable by the URL I provided	
23	Fx	culpat	ory evidenc	e exists			
.رے		-	-		nca avieto	s? If so, does claimant assert a Brady violation?	
		no, not a		Jaiory Evider		I know of no way to verify this claim	
			emote factor			Should be verifiable but not sure how	
			oderate factor			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation	
			gnificant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access	
		<i>y</i> = 0, == 0.	5			Verifiable by information provided upon request	
						Verifiable by the URL I provided	

24. Conviction not corroborated by evidence					
Does claimant assert conviction not corroborated by any empirical evidence?					
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
25. Conviction based on irrationa					
Does claimant assert the conviction's th	<u> </u>				
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
<ul><li>□ yes, a moderate factor</li><li>□ yes, a significant factor</li></ul>	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
yes, a significant factor	<ul><li>Verifiable by information requiring paid access</li><li>Verifiable by information provided upon request</li></ul>				
	☐ Verifiable by find mation provided upon request				
26. No actual crime					
Does claimant assert no crime actually	happened? Does lack of evidence suggest the alleged incident				
never occurred? Was claimant acting so	olely in self-defense, or insist sex was fully consensual?				
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRI	BE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:				

STAR	RI IIME:	FINISH TIME:	TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:
<b>C.</b> 3	Investigative fa	actors	
The	ese six items increa	se a likelihood of wror	ngful conviction
27.	Law enforcemer	nt tunnel vision	
	Does claimant cite cor	nfirmation bias distorting th	ne criminal investigation, leading investigators to ignore
	actual alternatives?		
	<ul><li>□ no, not a factor</li><li>□ only a remote factor</li></ul>	or $\Box$	I know of no way to verify this claim Should be verifiable but not sure how
	☐ yes, a moderate fac		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
	☐ yes, a significant fa		Verifiable by information requiring paid access
			Verifiable by information provided upon request
			Verifiable by the URL I provided
28	l aw enforcemen	nt 'noble cause corr	untion'
			orcement bends the rules to obtain what they view as just
	ends?	y occasion where law crit	brother bends the raies to obtain what they view as just
	no, not a factor		I know of no way to verify this claim
	□ only a remote factor		Should be verifiable but not sure how
	yes, a moderate fac		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
	□ yes, a significant fa	actor $\square$	Verifiable by information requiring paid access Verifiable by information provided upon request
			Verifiable by the URL I provided
		<u> </u>	•
20	Complainant ret	raction	
_	•		of the initiating accusation? Does complainant realize
			now support wrongly accused while worried actual
	perpetrator remains from	` '	The triangle of triangle of the triangle of tr
	no, not a factor		I know of no way to verify this claim
	only a remote factor		Should be verifiable but not sure how
	<ul><li>□ yes, a moderate fac</li><li>□ yes, a significant fac</li></ul>		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation Verifiable by information requiring paid access
	□ yes, a significant ia		Verifiable by information provided upon request
			Verifiable by the URL I provided

30. Confession from actual perpe	30. Confession from actual perpetrator				
Has another person confessed to the crime? Does that person exclude any claimant involvement?					
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
<b>,</b> , <b>,</b>	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
Another person implicated in	the crime				
31. Another person implicated in					
points to another likely culprit?	est under investigation but not charged? Does evidence exist that				
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
— yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	□ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
32. Conviction based upon outm	oded law/beliefs				
Does claimant assert conviction was ba	sed on law no longer in effect? Does claimant point to debunked				
beliefs that contributed to the guilty vero					
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRI	BE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:				

START TIME: FINISH	TIME: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:
<b>C.4 Complicating factors</b> These six items tend to compound	other factors, increasing likelihood of a wrongful conviction.
33. Presenting conflict of interpretation Does claimant cite any government win the case for reelection?	rest official presenting a conflict of interest, such as a prosecutor needing to
<ul> <li>□ no, not a factor</li> <li>□ only a remote factor</li> <li>□ yes, a moderate factor</li> <li>□ yes, a significant factor</li> </ul>	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim ☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how ☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation ☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access ☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request ☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
they knew them to be false?	victim or accomplices made errant claims under oath, whether or not
<ul> <li>□ no, not a factor</li> <li>□ only a remote factor</li> <li>□ yes, a moderate factor</li> <li>□ yes, a significant factor</li> </ul>	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim ☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how ☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation ☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access ☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request ☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
35. <b>Moral panic</b> Was accusation made in context of a	a moral panic, such as the child sex abuse hysteria of the 80s and 90s?
<ul> <li>□ no, not a factor</li> <li>□ only a remote factor</li> <li>□ yes, a moderate factor</li> <li>□ yes, a significant factor</li> </ul>	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim ☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how ☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation ☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access ☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request ☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
	2 vermane by the oner provided

36. <b>Disparate impact</b>					
Is claimant a member of a population that has been disproportionately targeted by law enforcement, such					
as dark-skinned minorities, immigrants, religious minorities, the mentally ill or LGBTQ persons?					
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
	<ul> <li>Verifiable by information provided upon request</li> </ul>				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
37. Law enforcement prejudice					
	prejudicial hostile contact from any law enforcement official? Or				
hostile bias from law enforcement again					
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
	4				
-0 Tuial burna adia					
38. <b>Trial by media</b>					
	ifluenced the judicial process or outcome?				
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim				
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how				
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation				
□ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access				
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request				
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided				
TRANSCRIBE START: TRANSCR	IBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:				

STAR	I IIME: F	INISH TIME:		TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:			
	Claimant's demonst			ence ior against those with actual guilt.			
5							
	Pled not guilty						
				enge some or all the charges at trial? Was claimant			
ir	nformed of the trial penalty ri	sk if found guilty	an	d sentenced?			
	no, not a factor	I		I know of no way to verify this claim			
		] [		Should be verifiable but not sure how			
	<b>5</b> ,	]		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation			
	yes, a significant factor	l l		Verifiable by information requiring paid access			
				Verifiable by information provided upon request			
				Verifiable by the URL I provided			
	Mandalaa						
_	Alford plea						
A	fter being confronted with th	<u>e state's evidenc</u>	œ,	did claimant take an Alford plea?			
	•	]		I know of no way to verify this claim			
	- <b>3</b>	l l		Should be verifiable but not sure how			
	<i>y</i> ,	[		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation			
	yes, a significant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access			
		_		Verifiable by information provided upon request			
				Verifiable by the URL I provided			
41. <b>C</b>	Ouration of innocence	e claim					
Н	las claimant always maintair	ed innocence? (	Эr	persisted in claiming innocence after promptly claiming a			
С	oerced confession?						
	no, not a factor			I know of no way to verify this claim			
		]		Should be verifiable but not sure how			
		]		Verifiable by inaccessible documentation			
	yes, a significant factor	]		Verifiable by information requiring paid access			
		]		Verifiable by information provided upon request			
		]		Verifiable by the URL I provided			
42. <b>R</b>	Respect for crime vict	tim(s)					
-	Does claimant present sympathy or empathy for victims of crime? Is there any evidence of the claimant						
l l	eing hostile to the complaina			•			
ı	only hostile to the complaint	ando, prior or all	ات	ио аподей пойони:			

□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
43. Positive institutional record	
If imprisoned, does claimant assert the	v were a model prisoner?
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
44. No criminal history	
Was the instant offense the only crimin	al charge to the claimant?
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how
☐ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
45. Parole denial from maintain	_
Was claimant denied parole because of	of a "lack of remorse" in parole hearing while insisting innocence?
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided
TRANSCRIPE CTART	TOTAL TIME ON COCCUM
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCR	IBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:

STAR	I IIME:	FINISH III	ME:	TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:		
<b>C</b> .6	Claimant's innoce	nce recos	gnized	by others		
These seven items provide independent recognition of claimant's actual innocence.						
46. <b>A</b>	ny relief on appeal	:				
D	id the appellate panel pro-	vide any relie	of from th	e conviction or sentence?.		
	-,			I know of no way to verify this claim		
	3			Should be verifiable but not sure how		
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		
	l yes, a significant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access		
				Verifiable by information provided upon request Verifiable by the URL I provided		
				vermable by the ORL i provided		
47. <b>S</b>	upporters					
		of supporters	who bel	ieve in claimant's innocence?		
				I know of no way to verify this claim		
	l only a remote factor			Should be verifiable but not sure how		
	5,			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		
	lyes, a significant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access		
				Verifiable by information provided upon request		
				Verifiable by the URL I provided		
48. <b>A</b>	48. <b>Affidavits</b>					
		ffidavits attes	stina to th	ne facts in the case, such as an alibi?		
				I know of no way to verify this claim		
				Should be verifiable but not sure how		
	-			Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		
	l yes, a significant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access		
				Verifiable by information provided upon request		
				Verifiable by the URL I provided		
49. <b>J</b>	udge support					
	as any judge come out in	support of cla	aimant's	innocence?		
				I know of no way to verify this claim		
				Should be verifiable but not sure how		
				Verifiable by inaccessible documentation		
	l yes, a significant factor			Verifiable by information requiring paid access		
				Verifiable by information provided upon request		
				Verifiable by the URL I provided		

50. Prosecutor support							
Has any prosecutor come out in suppor	rt of claimant's innocence?						
□ no, not a factor □ I know of no way to verify this claim							
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how						
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation						
☐ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access						
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request						
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided						
51. Defense counsel support							
Does defense counsel continue to supp	port claimant's claim to innocence?						
□ no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim						
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how						
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation						
□ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access						
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request						
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided						
52. Influential support							
	e out in support of claimant's innocence?						
no, not a factor	☐ I know of no way to verify this claim						
□ only a remote factor	☐ Should be verifiable but not sure how						
□ yes, a moderate factor	☐ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation						
□ yes, a significant factor	☐ Verifiable by information requiring paid access						
	☐ Verifiable by information provided upon request						
	☐ Verifiable by the URL I provided						
TRANSCRIBE START: TRANSCRI	IBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:						
INVINOCUTOR STAKE:TKANSCK	IDE LINISH TOTAL TIME ON SECTION						

START TIME: FINISH	TIME:	TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:			
<b>C.7 Other</b> This item provides space to accoun	nt for anyt	hing not asked above.			
53. Any other relevant items Were any charges dropped prior to be and when? Did changes reveal a pos	•	ed? Were any charges dropped, or added, after indictment, case?			
<ul> <li>□ no, not a factor</li> <li>□ only a remote factor</li> <li>□ yes, a moderate factor</li> <li>□ yes, a significant factor</li> </ul>		I know of no way to verify this claim Should be verifiable but not sure how Verifiable by inaccessible documentation Verifiable by information requiring paid access Verifiable by information provided upon request Verifiable by the URL I provided			
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRIBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:					

START T	TIME:	FINISH TIME:		TOTAL	TIME ON SECTION:	
C.8 P	rocess					
These	five process items m	nay improve our	r estim	nation of a like	ely wrongful conviction.	
E4 Inc	dictment changed					
			ment hy	the time of the	preliminary hearing or trial?	
	no, not a factor				verify this claim	
	only a remote factor				e but not sure how	
	yes, a moderate factor				essible documentation	
	yes, a significant factor				nation requiring paid access	
				rifiable by inforr rifiable by the Ul	nation provided upon request	
			□ VCI	i illable by the of	KE i provided	
as Dia						
	ea deal turned do		,			
	s there a plea offer that			novy of no vyovy to	y vonify this slaim	
	no, not a factor only a remote factor				o verify this claim e but not sure how	
	yes, a moderate factor				essible documentation	
	yes, a significant factor				nation requiring paid access	
					nation provided upon request	
			□ Vei	rifiable by the Ul	RL I provided	
-6 Λ <b>c</b>	serted right to tri					
-	_		امامااما	ngo all chargos	ot trial?	
	claimant contest the inc				o verify this claim	
	only a remote factor				e but not sure how	
	yes, a moderate factor				essible documentation	
	yes, a significant factor				nation requiring paid access	
				•	nation provided upon request	
			⊔ Vei	rifiable by the Ul	RL I provided	

57. Discovery with exculpatory 6	evidence				
Could any documentation provided by t	he prosecution be viewed as exculpatory?				
□ no, not a factor □ I know of no way to verify this claim □ only a remote factor □ Should be verifiable but not sure how □ yes, a moderate factor □ Verifiable by inaccessible documentation □ yes, a significant factor □ Verifiable by information requiring paid access □ Verifiable by information provided upon request □ Verifiable by the URL I provided					
The vermance by the OKE Provided					
58. Exculpatory evidence not pro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Claimant aware of exculpatory evidence not revealed in discovery (i.e., possible Brady violation)?  no, not a factor only a remote factor yes, a moderate factor yes, a significant factor Verifiable by information requiring paid access Verifiable by information provided upon request Verifiable by the URL I provided					
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRIBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:					

This concludes the factors scoring your innocence viability. Sections D and E help us improve available resources to address your compelling innocence claim. Section F gives you opportunity to tell your story in your own words, which we post online for the world to see. Others can only see the details of your case after registering to the platform, and agreeing to donate or invest in your compelling claim of innocence.

The spreadsheet form continues with others sections unrelated to your scoring. After your proxy transcribes this form into the spreadsheet form, you can have your proxy print out the spreadsheet form and send it to you, so you can see the end product.

After taking a break, you will be on the home stretch. You're almost there.

START TIME: FINISH TIME: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:

# D. Request and responses for exoneration help

Provide names (and email addresses if available) for attempts to get legal assistance.

PIOVIC	de fiailles (affu effiail auuf esses if avallai	ne) ioi	attempts to get legal assistance.
50 <b>In</b> i	nocence project(s)		
	ntacted your state's innocence project? If yes,	rate voui	level of satisfaction
	tisfaction level	·	st level
	very satisfied with their responsiveness to my innocence claim	Ц	I continue to trust their legal-judicial process for official exoneration
	somewhat satisfied with their		I'd start trusting them less if I knew of a popular
	responsiveness to my innocence claim		alternative
	neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their		I'd lose trust if seeing an alternative work for
_	responsiveness to my claim	_	other claimants
	somewhat dissatisfied with their		I'm discouraged and ready to try something
	responsiveness to my innocence claim very dissatisfied with their responsiveness		radically different I totally distrust their dismissive approach &
Ц	to my innocence claim	Ц	now seeking a better option
	to my minocence claim		now seeking a sector option
ć D'			
	strict attorney/local or state Convi		<u> </u>
Со	ntacted your local CIU or DA? If yes, rate your	level of	satisfaction.
Sat	tisfaction level	Tru	st level
	very satisfied with their responsiveness to		I continue to trust their legal-judicial process for
_	my innocence claim	-	official exoneration
	somewhat satisfied with their		I'd start trusting them less if I knew of a popular
	responsiveness to my innocence claim neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their	П	alternative I'd lose trust if seeing an alternative work for
	responsiveness to my claim		other claimants
	somewhat dissatisfied with their		I'm discouraged and ready to try something
	responsiveness to my innocence claim		radically different
	very dissatisfied with their responsiveness		I totally distrust their dismissive approach &
	to my innocence claim		now seeking a better option
Ī			
61. <b>Ot</b>	her sources of legal assistance		
Pro	bono or hired lawyer, investigative journalists,	law sch	ool students or others who might help?
	tisfaction level		st level
	very satisfied with their responsiveness to		I continue to trust their legal-judicial process for
	my innocence claim		official exoneration
	somewhat satisfied with their		I'd start trusting them less if I knew of a popular
_	responsiveness to my innocence claim	_	alternative
	neither satisfied nor dissatisfied with their		I'd lose trust if seeing an alternative work for
	responsiveness to my claim somewhat dissatisfied with their		other claimants I'm discouraged and ready to try something
	responsiveness to my innocence claim		radically different
	very dissatisfied with their responsiveness		I totally distrust their dismissive approach &
	to my innocence claim		now seeking a better option

62. Innocence movement  With demand for services exceeding the supply, r	62. Innocence movement  With demand for services exceeding the supply, rate the innocence movement as it stands right now.					
Satisfaction level  ☐ grateful for the efforts to serve innocence claimants ☐ content with level of service to innocence claimants ☐ discontent but understanding of limited service to claimants ☐ discouraged with the limited level of service to claimants ☐ disgusted with the limited level of service to claimants	Trust level  ☐ I only can trust the legal-judicial process to regain my freedom ☐ I'd likely try an alternative once it catches on as a popular option ☐ I'm open to an alternative if I see it working for other claimants ☐ I'll try this pioneering alternative when I can make the time ☐ I'm eager to try this alternative now, even if it doesn't work					
What do you specifically need from the innocence you right now. Help the innocence movement price	e movement? Rate each item's level of importance to pritize resources to meet your needs.					
exoneration  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it ☐ must have it as soon as possible ☐ increasingly consuming my focus ☐ can't go on any further without it	financial support for legal costs  not important at all right now low priority right now important but can wait for it must have it as soon as possible increasingly consuming my focus can't go on any further without it					
expunged record  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it ☐ must have it as soon as possible ☐ increasingly consuming my focus ☐ can't go on any further without it	remove all collateral consequences  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it ☐ must have it as soon as possible ☐ increasingly consuming my focus ☐ can't go on any further without it					
compensation  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it ☐ must have it as soon as possible ☐ increasingly consuming my focus ☐ can't go on any further without it	apology  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it ☐ must have it as soon as possible ☐ increasingly consuming my focus ☐ can't go on any further without it					
other:  ☐ not important at all right now ☐ low priority right now ☐ important but can wait for it	<ul> <li>□ must have it as soon as possible</li> <li>□ increasingly consuming my focus</li> <li>□ can't go on any further without it</li> </ul>					

63. Impact on claimant (legitimacy of ex What are some specific impacts on the claimant	after trusting the adversarial judicial process?
reason for being denied review in the past  ☐ never heard back from them ☐ not convinced of your innocence ☐ case lacks adequate documents ☐ do not handle this type of case ☐ prioritizing assistance to others ☐ other	revisiting case risks retraumatization  □ no trauma or risk of trauma □ risks losing some focus □ risks reliving the nightmare □ risks shutting down entirely □ risks complicating the damage □ risks lethal damage, suicide
depression level from legal-jud. process as only option  □ none linked to legal-judicial process □ moderate level linkable to it □ often feel de-energized by it □ routinely immobilized by it □ constantly incapacitated by it	anxiety from relying on same process creating error  □ no anxiety trusting court process □ slightly wary of trusting courts □ worried process will go poorly □ afraid courts cannot face its errors □ terrified having to rely on courts
anxiety from slowness of legal-judicial process  □ not worried it may take years □ concerned it may take many years □ worried it may take decades □ afraid justice will come too late □ terrified justice will never come	anxiety from prosecutor's power to reinforce error  □ not worried at all about it □ slightly concerned by it □ worried about innocence deniers □ afraid of their conflicts of interest □ fear judiciary opposes full justice
anxiety from having little to no control over process  ☐ no anxiety being out of the loop ☐ feel some vulnerability to process ☐ feel manipulated by the process ☐ feel controlled by the process ☐ feel paralyzed by the process	disillusionment with legal-judicial process  ☐ not at all and eager to try again ☐ somewhat but hanging on to hope ☐ generally disappointed ☐ tempted to give up trying ☐ fully disillusioned, gave up hope
	legal-judicial system help account for the estimated exists independent of the judiciary and can either the adversarial legal-judicial process hangs in the
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRIBE FINIS	SH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:

permanent

START TIME: FINISH TIME: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION: E. Collateral consequences of wrongful conviction Background checks that privilege discrimination with these specific items. 64. Collateral consequences impacting claimant Mark on left how each applies. If applies, mark on right when it applies. 1) Conviction posted online: impacting how, then impacting whom impacting everyone I know not applicable impacting only my (then) partner □ temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) □ lasting impacting only family members impacting only my grandchild(ren) □ permanent 2) Custody reimbursement: impacting how, then impacting whom not applicable impacting everyone I know impacting only my (then) partner impacting (then) partner & kids temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) □ lasting permanent impacting only family members impacting only my grandchild(ren) 3) Education discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom not applicable impacting everyone I know impacting only my (then) partner temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) lasting □ permanent impacting only family members impacting only my grandchild(ren) 4) Employment discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom impacting everyone I know impacting only my (then) partner not applicable temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids impacting only my child(ren) lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my grandchild(ren) □ permanent impacting only family members 5) Exempt from student financial aid: impacting how, then impacting whom not applicable impacting everyone I know impacting only my (then) partner temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids □ lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) □ permanent impacting only family members impacting only my grandchild(ren) 6) Health care discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom impacting everyone I know □ not applicable impacting only my (then) partner impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids temporary lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) impacting only my grandchild(ren) impacting only family members □ permanent 7) Housing discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom impacting everyone I know not applicable impacting only my (then) partner temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids □ lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) impacting only my grandchild(ren) permanent impacting only family members 8) Legal discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom impacting everyone I know not applicable impacting only my (then) partner temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids □ lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren) impacting only family members impacting only my grandchild(ren) □ permanent 9) Loss of government benefits: impacting how, then impacting whom not applicable impacting everyone I know impacting only my (then) partner temporary impacting close friend(s) impacting (then) partner & kids lasting impacting close friends & family impacting only my child(ren)

impacting only family members

33 Rev. 2021-06-14

impacting only my grandchild(ren)

10	) Loss of gun rights:	imp	acting how, then impacting who	om	
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
11)	Loss of parental rig	ts:	impacting how, then impacting	whom	1
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
12)	Loss of vote: impa	cting	how, then impacting whom		
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
13)	Loss or denial of pr	rofes	sional license: impacting how, th	hen im	pacting whom
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
14)	Prevented from se	eing	family: impacting how, then im	pactin	g whom
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
15)	Prevented from vis	siting	prisoners: impacting how, then	impa	cting whom
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
16) Restitution to alleged victims: impacting how, then impacting whom					
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
17)	Restricted movem	ent: i	mpacting how, then impacting	whom	1
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
18)	Sex offender regist	try: i	mpacting how, then impacting v	whom	
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)
19)	) Workplace discrim	ninati	on: impacting how, then impact	ting w	hom
	not applicable		impacting everyone I know		impacting only my (then) partner
	temporary		impacting close friend(s)		impacting (then) partner & kids
	lasting		impacting close friends & family		impacting only my child(ren)
	permanent		impacting only family members		impacting only my grandchild(ren)

Add here any conseque	ence of the conviction not listed above.	
Which for you fe	els worse? Being wrongly convicted or bein	g falsely incarcerated?
_	ngly convicted feels worse than being fals	
	ely incarcerated feels worse than being wr	
65 Collatoral conso	auanca impacting athers in cla	imantic life
	quence impacting others in cla	
Mark on left how or if e	each applies. If applies, mark on right to v	vhom it applies.
1) Conviction posted	anlines imposting hoss than imposting	of whom
	online: impacting how, then impacting	
□ not applicable	☐ impacting everyone I know☐ impacting close friend(s)	impacting only my (then) partner
☐ temporary ☐ lasting	☐ impacting close friend(s) ☐ impacting close friends & family	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids ☐ impacting only my child(ren)
□ lasting □ permanent	impacting close friends & fairing library	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)
	ement: impacting how, then impacting	
not applicable	impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner
□ temporary	impacting everyone r know impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting only my (then) partner ☐ impacting (then) partner & kids
□ lasting	impacting close friends & family	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids ☐ impacting only my child(ren)
□ permanent	impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)
	nation: impacting how, then impactin	
□ not applicable	☐ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner
□ temporary	☐ impacting close friend(s)	impacting (then) partner & kids
□ lasting	☐ impacting close friends & family	☐ impacting only my child(ren)
□ permanent	☐ impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)
4) Employment discri	mination: impacting how, then impact	ing whom
□ not applicable	☐ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner
□ temporary	☐ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids
□ lasting	☐ impacting close friends & family	☐ impacting only my child(ren)
□ permanent	☐ impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)
5) Exempt from stude	ent financial aid: impacting how, then i	mpacting whom
□ not applicable	☐ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner
□ temporary	☐ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids
□ lasting	impacting close friends & family	impacting only my child(ren)
permanent	impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)
	nination: impacting how, then impactin	X
□ not applicable	impacting everyone I know	impacting only my (then) partner
☐ temporary	impacting close friend(s)	impacting (then) partner & kids
□ lasting	impacting close friends & family	impacting only my child(ren)
□ permanent	☐ impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)

7) Housing discrimination: impacting how, then impacting whom					
□ not applicable □ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner				
$\Box$ temporary $\Box$ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids				
☐ lasting ☐ impacting close friends & family					
☐ permanent ☐ impacting only family members	☐ impacting only my grandchild(ren)				
8) Legal discrimination: impacting how, then impacting v	whom				
□ not applicable □ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner				
$\square$ temporary $\square$ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids				
$\square$ lasting $\square$ impacting close friends & family					
$\square$ permanent $\square$ impacting only family members					
9) Loss of government benefits: impacting how, then imp					
$\square$ not applicable $\square$ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner				
$\Box$ temporary $\Box$ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids				
☐ lasting ☐ impacting close friends & family					
□ permanent □ impacting only family members					
10) Loss of gun rights: impacting how, then impacting w					
□ not applicable □ impacting everyone I know	☐ impacting only my (then) partner				
$\Box$ temporary $\Box$ impacting close friend(s)	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids				
☐ lasting ☐ impacting close friends & family					
□ permanent □ impacting only family members					
11) Loss of parental rights: impacting how, then impacting	<del></del>				
□ not applicable □ impacting everyone I know	impacting only my (then) partner				
☐ temporary ☐ impacting close friend(s)	impacting (then) partner & kids				
☐ lasting ☐ impacting close friends & family					
□ permanent □ impacting only family members	impacting only my grandchild(ren)				
12) Loss of vote: impacting how, then impacting whom					
□ not applicable □ impacting everyone I know □ temporary □ impacting close friend(s)	impacting only my (then) partner				
☐ temporary ☐ impacting close friend(s) ☐ lasting ☐ impacting close friends & family	☐ impacting (then) partner & kids ☐ impacting only my child(ren)				
□ permanent □ impacting close in lends & family □ impacting only family members					
Feel free to add any impacts on others overlooked in the list al	oove.				

66.Current neglected needs due to these collateral consequences  Now let's look at specific impacts by the wrongful conviction's collateral consequences.				
Challenging	Rate each	item by how much th	ne wrongful conviction	appears to impact it in your current life.
1) economic  □ not challenged at a configuration only slightly challe configuration moderately challe configuration significantly challenged severely challenged	enged nged enged	2) physical health  □ not challenged  □ only slightly ch  □ moderately ch  □ significantly ch  □ severely challe	nallenged allenged nallenged	3) mental health  □ not challenged at all □ only slightly challenged □ moderately challenged □ significantly challenged □ severely challenged
□       severely challenged         4) relationships       5) will-to-live         □       not challenged at all         □       only slightly challenged         □       moderately challenged         □       significantly challenged         □       severely challenged         □       severely challenged         □       severely challenged         □       severely challenged		nallenged allenged nallenged	6) other: not challenged at all   □ only slightly challenged   □ moderately challenged   □ significantly challenged   □ severely challenged	
Aspiring	Rate each	item by importance i	n your life right now, s	o we can best serve your needs.
7) economic  ☐ I don't seek it nor think about it much ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I struggle to make it happen but unsuccessfully ☐ I feel I've reached it but not maintained it ☐ I sense I can maintain it with help like this ☐ I don't seek it nor think about it much ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen but unsuccessfully ☐ I feel I've reached it but not maintained it ☐ I sense I can maintain it without help like this ☐ I don't seek it nor think about it much ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I struggle to make it happen but unsuccessfully ☐ I feel I've reached it but not maintained it ☐ I sense I can maintain it with help like this ☐ I maintain it without help like this				
I don't seek it nor think about it much  ☐ I desire it but feel it's unlikely to happen  ☐ I hope it'll happen but I can do little about it now ☐ I struggle to make it happen but unsuccessfully ☐ I feel I've reached it but not maintained it ☐ I sense I can maintain it with help like this ☐ I maintain it without help like this ☐ I maintain it wrongful conviction challenges or aspiration you'd like to add?				
TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSCRIBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:				

START TIME: FINISH TIME: TOTAL TIME ON SECTION:

# F. Claimant narrative

Here is where the claimant puts in their own words what they claim happened, providing helpful context for the wrongful conviction. This appears in what others see first, so give it your best. This section wraps up the form. The remainder is for helping you, the claimant (and proxy), to find the support you need to overcome this injustice. Keep going, you're almost there!

_		•	_		•
67.	Cla	aım	50	no	psis

In two sentences or less, grab the reader's attention with a tear-jerking synopsis of the innocence claim. This text appears in the executive summary at the top. **250-character limit** 

#### 68. Claim highlights

List eye grabbing highlights of the claim, with as few words as possible. Replace each example with something from your own case.

Highlight 1:	No other criminal history	
Highlight 2:	Consistently maintained innocence, took no plea deals	
Highlight 3:	Transphobic investigation and prosecution	
Highlight 4:	Convicted without corroborating evidence	
Highlight 5:	Climate of sex abuse hysteria	
Highlight 6:	Media sensationalized coverage	
Highlight 7:	Exculpatory evidence overlooked with untested DNA	
Highlight 8:	Asexual transperson must register for life as "sex offender"	

Boil down this whole claim into a single catchy phrase. Why should anyone ready it instead of other claims? See the samples for ideas. Craft it to draw their engaging attention. Pull on their heart strings. Let it draw attention to the injustice suffered, without any tone of blame or victimhood. Inspire viewers to support you, to invest in your innocence, and possibly sponsor your path toward exoneration. This **one-liner** will typically be the first thing viewers see about your innocence claim.

One-liner:

WHO or WHAT + UNJUST RESULT (to evoke viewer's interest)

#### 69.**Flipside**

For a balanced view, acknowledge what could be seen in the worst light. Nullify criticism by getting it out of the way. End on a positive note, like how you overcame the worst.

### 70. Claim Summary

Summarize the innocence claim--with an eye for short attention spans. Add some context to the synopsis above. Provoke the reader's curiosity and interest to discover more.

#### **FORMAT:**

On [INCIDENT DATE], [CLAIMANT'S NAME] [HOW INCIDENT OCCURRED]. [CONTEXT]. Do your best to describe the facts without vilifying anyone. Let the reader decide. Close with a call-to-action [CTA], what you are asking the engaged reader to do. Look through this example to get some ideas.

On July 7th, 1993, Steph Turner awoke to hear voices from the other room. Steph could hear her sister Janet talking to someone. That person left, but later returned with her irate mother to accuse Janet of an incredulous crime. You see, Janet was born male and now openly transgender, long before that was socially acceptable. And Janet had yet to fully transition.

At the height of the sex abuse hysteria in the early-90s, Steph came out as gender-nonconforming transgender. But living in a religiously conservative community, Steph kept it private. Steph soon came out to Janet, years after Janet had. They shared an apartment to rekindle their newfound bond. Both now freely embracing their feminine sides. Both felt asexual by not being loved for their full selves. Both drawn to the spirituality of transcending the gender divide.

A neighborhood child drew curious, peeping into Janet's window to gawk at what she called the "man with lipstick." When caught not being home on time, the child leveled bizarre claims of sex abuse unbecoming from a child. Exposed to porn?

The child then dragged Steph into her transphobic accusations. The child claimed Steph posed with her as if she, the young child, was stabbing Steph in the chest with a butter knife. She claimed this was to scare her from talking to police, that we would say she was the aggressor. Unbelievable? Not if you already believe trans people are subhuman.

Child testimonies back then were often coached. Trans people were easily vilified. Since no corroborating evidence was necessary back then to convict for sexual misconduct, both transwomen were wrongly convicted and sentenced to long terms in men's prisons, where Steph's transgender sibling and codefendant died in 2001.

Repeated efforts to overcome this wrongful conviction failed. After serving a full 12-year sentence, Steph was discharged and finished undergraduate and graduate degrees. But is required to register as a sex offender for life, destroying economic and other opportunities. Your support can help turn this around.

TO ANICCOTOR ETNICLL

TRANSCRIBE START:TRANSC	RIBE FINISH: TOTAL TIME ON S	SECTION:
named representative—has your trust this form, you understand your information convicted. Further terms of use may follow guarantee that it will result in the court legitimacy of a court system that resists ends. You are free to discontinue this se information above would then be removed in the property of the proper	nning. If you wish to proceed, sign below to transcribe this information into the spretion is only to be used for the purpose of elow as this service unfolds. This service is o's recognition of your innocence. Nor does viable claims of innocence ostensibly for revice at any time with written notification. Wed. All correspondence is delegated throut communication can be sent to Value Rela Any questions or concerns can be sent directly upon receipt of the signed copy of this page	adsheet form. By signing xonerating the wrongly offered without this service assume reasons serving its own. All provided gh your assigned proxy ting, 3510 Comstock ectly to this address.
Claimant's written legal name	Claimant's signature	Date signed
Proxy's written legal name	Proxy's signature	Date signed

NOTE TO PROXY: Please scan this signed page and upload the PDF at https://www.valuerelating.com/unexonerated.